

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
_	09/802,841	03/09/2001	Steven Francis Colborne	LEICA/9	8013
	2292 7	590 03/21/2006		EXAMINER	
	BIRCH STEV PO BOX 747	WART KOLASCH &	BIRCH	CORRIELUS, JEAN B	
		URCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,		2611	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\$ }
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V
	09/802,841	COLBORNE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jean B Corrielus	2637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	VIC SET TO EVEIDE AM	NITU(C) FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty if will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31.	January 2006.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	· ·		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-20 and 22-26</u> is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 8 and 21 is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	•	-	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	, ,	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form P1O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Ap	plication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the price		eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)	
2)		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The objection to the specification has been withdrawn.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 8 and 21 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 8 recites applying the subsample clock signals to a counter, resetting the counter with the sample clock signals; and using the counter value as a measure of clock phase. Shouldn't the counter be reset before applying the clock phase? It appears that if the counter is reset after applying the clock, the counter will not provide a measure of the clock phase from the subsample clock signals, as the counter will be forced to a predetermined state or value, dictated by the "sample clock signals".

Outputting the value of the counter after resetting the same will reflect a value related to the "sample clock signals" not the "subsample clock signals". Hence the limitation recited in claim 8 would not be consistent with the limitation recited in claim 6 that recites that "deriving from the subsample clock signals a measure of clock phase". The same comment applies to claim 21.

Drawings

- 3. The drawings objection has been withdrawn in view of applicant's comment.
- 4. This application is in condition for allowance except for the formal matters noted above.

Art Unit: 2637

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-7, 9-20, 22-26 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 8 and 21 would be allowable if amended to overcome the objection sets forth above.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 1/31/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that fig. 17 of the drawing and page 27-28 teach providing a subsampling clock signal to counter 144 that has a value 0-99 and further teaches that the counter is reset by applying pulses from a primary clock signal provided by clock source 140. The comment further stated that the numeric count in the counter 144 indicates a subsample time value in the hundreds of sample interval in other words, for every sampling interval, a subsampling cycle with 100 steps (0-99) is provided and the content of the counter is outputted, indicating a subsample time value. However, the claim language suggests that the value as output by the counter is the value related to the sample clock signals not a value related to the subsample clock signals" because after applying the subsample clock signals to the counter, the counter is reset to a new value, reflecting the value related to the sample clock signals. The value as outputted by

Application/Control Number: 09/802,841

Art Unit: 2637

the counter is the content of the counter after the resetting step. Such a value is related

Page 4

to the "sample clock signals" not the "subsample clock signals.

The objection to claims 2, 6, 7, 19 and 20 has been withdrawn in view of the

amendment, filed on 1/31/06.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Jean B. Corrielus whose telephone number is 571-

272-3020. The examiner can normally be reached on Maxi-Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary _. Art Unit 2637 3-17-06